

## Certificate of Electronic Filing Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with 37 CFR 1.6(a)(4):

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on September 11, 2007  
Date

/Carl A. Forest/

Signature

Carl A. Forest

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

28,494

Registration Number, if applicable

(303) 894-6114

Telephone Number

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.

1. Interview Request (1 page)
2. Communication In Preparation For Interview (2 pages)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

In re Patent Application of:  
Greg Johnson

Application No.: 09/993,733

Confirmation No.: 9460

Filed: November 21, 2001

Art Unit: 1772

For: CONCRETE FORMWORKS AND METHOD  
OF MAKING SAME

---

Examiner: W. Aughenbaugh

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

**COMMUNICATION IN PREPARATION FOR INTERVIEW**

An interview with the Examiner is scheduled for 3:30 PM on the afternoon of September 26, 2007. The purpose of the interview is to attempt to move this case to allowance. This case has been withdrawn from appeal twice, both times for reasons that, in the view of the undersigned, could have been brought up years ago. Further, the rejections are essentially the same as they were prior to the several appeals. The current rejection cites a new reference, Hedrick et al., stating that Hedrick teaches a laminate suitable for concrete construction panels at col. 1, lines 39 – 50; col. 3, lines 47 – 57; and col. 4, line 20. Respectfully, Hedrick et al. does not teach this. Hedrick et al. mentions that the panels can be used for building construction panels attached to metal or concrete frames. This is not the same as a concrete formwork, which is claimed. While it is believed that the present claims are patentable for the reasons described in detail in the appeal briefs, the Applicant's attorney would like to discuss with the Examiner if there is some further narrowing of the claims, such as the inclusion of the limitations of claims 10 or 11 in claim 1, would place the application for condition for allowance.

The Applicant understands that the reasons for rejection sometimes are reasons of the Supervisory Examiner. Therefore, if the Applicant is not able to reach a formulation that would place the application in condition for allowance with the Examiner, then the Applicant would like to have the

undersigned speak with Supervisor Examiner Harold Pyon. This can either be at the same time as the interview with the Examiner, or can be done at a later time.

Respectfully submitted,  
**PATTON BOGGS LLP**

Dated: September 11, 2007

By: /Carl A. Forest/  
Carl A. Forest  
Registration No.: 28,494  
(303) 894-6114  
(303) 894-9239 (Fax)  
Attorney for Applicant

**Customer No. 24283**